## **MATERIAL LITIGATIONS**

Save as disclosed below, DutaLand Berhad ("DutaLand") and its subsidiary companies are not engaged in any material litigation, claims or arbitration, either as plaintiff or defendant and the Directors of DutaLand have no knowledge of any proceedings pending or threatened against DutaLand and its subsidiary companies or of any fact likely to give rise to any proceeding which may materially affect the position or business of DutaLand and its subsidiary companies:

On 8 June 2016, Messrs. Yap Chin & Tiu ("Plaintiff") claimed for a sum of RM4,723,106.87 ("Sum in Bill") from DutaLand at the Kuala Lumpur High Court ("the Court") being the fees allegedly owed by DutaLand to the Plaintiff, whereby the Plaintiff purportedly acted as the solicitors of UNP Plywood Sdn Bhd ("UNP"), a subsidiary of DutaLand, at the request of DutaLand in a suit between UNP and Sabah Forest Industries Sdn Bhd ("SFI") ("UNP Dispute") at the Kota Kinabalu High Court (Suit No. K22-55-1997), and has since been settled. The Plaintiff still retains RM663,589.20 being costs awarded against SFI to UNP by the Kota Kinabalu High Court ("Awarded Costs") and therefore also made an alternate claim for a sum of RM4,059,517.67 and a declaration/order to set off/deduct the Awarded Costs against the Sum in Bill. The case management date for the matter is fixed on 28 September 2016.

On 28 September 2016, the Plaintiff served the amended statement of claim to the Defendants' solicitors, therefore the presiding judge directed the parties to file their amended statement of defence on or before 12 October 2016, and further fixed the matter for the case management on 12 October 2016 to update the Court the status of pleadings. On 12 October 2016, both parties agreed to an extension of time of one week for the Defendants to file their statement of defence pending receipt of further and better particulars, the Court fixed the matter for the next case management on 17 November 2016. On 17 November 2016, our solicitors informed the Court with regard to the status of pleadings which the first Defendant had filed their amended statement of defence on 27 October 2016, whilst the second Defendant filed their amended statement of defence on 26 October 2016. The Court has fixed for the next case management on 13 December 2016. On 13 December 2016, the Court has given the pre-trial directions to the parties, and fixed for a further case management on 18 January 2017 pending compliance of the Court directions. On 18 January 2017, the parties informed the Court that the necessary documents have been served and filed accordingly. The Court then fixed the trial on 19, 20, 21 July 2017, and 17 August 2017. Further to that, the Court has also fixed the case management date on 22 February 2017 to update the Court the status of the documents (statement of agreed facts, classification of documents and defence's case summary). On 22 February 2017, the Plaintiff's counsel informed the Court that the parties are still in the midst of finalising the bundle of documents and that the statement of agreed facts and the issues to be tried are still pending. The Court has fixed the matter for a further case management on 5 April 2017. When the Plaintiff's counsel informed the Court that there are documents still pending between the parties, the Court then fixed the matter for the case management on 4 May 2017 for further directions, when the Court informed that the trial date are on the 19 to 21 July 2017 and on 17 August 2017. Thereafter, the judge directed the parties to attend the case management on 16 May 2017 to fix the new trial dates as he will not be available.

On 18 May 2017, the new trial dates have been fixed on 8 January to 11 January 2018, the Court has further fixed for the case management on 19 July 2017. On 19 July 2017, the Court has fixed for a further case management on 6 September 2017 for parties to comply with common bundle of documents and their respective summary of case.

On 6 September 2017, the case management was fixed for parties to update the Court on the status of the pre-trial directions, the Plaintiff's counsel then informed the Court that the Plaintiff and the 1st Defendant's summary of the case and the common bundle of documents are currently pending, therefore the Court has instructed the Plaintiff and the 1st Defendant to file their respective summary of case on 6 September 2017 and the Plaintiff to file in the common bundle of documents by 26 September 2017. The Court further fixed the case for a further case management on 26 September 2017. On 26 September 2017, the Court has instructed parties to file their respective witness statements on or before 1 November 2017 and fixed for further case management on 1 November 2017. On 1 November 2017, the Court has instructed the parties to file their respective witness statements on or before 8 November 2017, and trial is fixed on 10 November 2017. On 10 November 2017, the matter came up for trial, the Court has fixed the case for further case management on 6 December 2017 and the trial dates fixed on 8 to 11 January 2018 are maintained. The trial went on 8 and 11 January 2018 but has not been concluded. The Court has scheduled the continued trial to be held on 21 and 23 May 2018. On 21 May 2018 the trial continued and finished on the 22 May 2018, the Court has fixed the case for Decision on 21 August 2018. On 19 July 2018, a case management was fixed before the Judge, the Court has rescheduled the Decision for the case on 23 October 2018.

2. On 10 May 2013, Lin Wen-Chih and Lin Wen-Chuan (collectively referred to as the "Plaintiffs") commenced action against Pacific Forest Industries Sdn Bhd, a subsidiary of DutaLand ("Pacific Forest") and DutaLand at the Tawau High Court claiming for a sum of RM16,859,186.65 allegedly owing to the Plaintiffs by Pacific Forest. Pacific Forest and DutaLand subsequently filed an application to strike out the Plaintiffs' writ and statement of claim which was allowed by the Tawau High Court with costs of RM45,000.00. The Plaintiffs appealed to the Court of Appeal. On 20 September 2016, the Court of Appeal allowed the Plaintiffs' appeal against the Tawau High Court's decision with costs of RM20,000.00. The Appellant's/Plaintiff's appeal against the Respondents/Defendants was allowed with cost of RM20,000.00 to be paid by Respondents.

The Respondents (Pacific Forest and DutaLand) had on 17 October 2016 filed an appeal against the Court of Appeal's decision to the Federal Court. Pacific Forest and DutaLand had on 17 October 2016 filed an appeal to the Federal Court against the latest decision of the Court of Appeal. The Federal Court has fixed the case for the hearing on 11 October 2017. On 11 October 2017, the Federal Court dismissed the Defendants' application for leave to appeal with costs of RM10,000.00. The case will be sent back to the High Court for trial. The trial dates had previously been fixed on 8th to 10th of January 2018. Defendants' had applied for adjournment of the trial, and the Court has on 8 January 2018 allowed the application and adjourned the trial dates to 12 to 14 February 2018. The trial of the case has proceeded on 12 February to 14 February 2018. The High Court has requested for written submission by the parties to be filed and exchanged by 7 March 2018. The High Court has tentatively fixed the case for decision on 2 April 2018. The hearing on 3rd April 2018 had been rescheduled to 25 April 2018 for oral submission of the case before the Judge. On 25 April 2018 the Judge then fixed the case for decision on 28 May 2018. However, on

25 May 2018, we were informed by the Court that the Judge is not ready to deliver the decision on 28 May 2018. The Court then rescheduled the decision to 6 June 2018 and later rescheduled to 11 June 2018. On 11 June 2018, the High Court dismissed the Plaintiff's claim against both the Defendants with costs of RM10,000.00. On 5 July 2018, The Plaintiff has filed a Notice to Appeal the decision of the High Court.

3. On 13 December 2006, Rinota Construction Sdn Bhd ("Plaintiff") filed an action against Mascon Rinota Sdn Bhd ("MRSB"), Mascon Sdn Bhd ("MSB"), Olympia Industries Berhad ("OIB") and others at the Kuala Lumpur High Court ("KLHC") by virtue of an alleged oppression under Section 181 of the then Companies Act 1965 ("Original Petition"). The Plaintiff sought damages of approximately RM8.0 million. On 21 October 2007, the Plaintiff filed an application to amend the Original Petition by adding Mascon Construction Sdn Bhd ("MCSB"), a subsidiary of DutaLand, as another respondent and such application was subsequently allowed by KLHC. MSB, a subsidiary of OIB, was wound up on 25 March 2008. On 29 August 2012, KLHC ruled in favour of the Plaintiff with an order for MCSB and others to buy out the Plaintiff's shareholding in MRSB which is a subsidiary of MSB. On 27 September 2012, MCSB and the others appealed against this decision ("Appeal"), which Appeal was allowed by the Court of Appeal with costs of RM100,000.00. The Plaintiff filed an application for leave to appeal to the Federal Court ("the Court") which was granted on 21 June 2016 and the hearing for the Plaintiff's appeal is fixed on 24 November 2016.

The Court re-fixed the hearing date to 21 February 2017 after the case was vacated on 24 November 2016. On 24 November 2016, the case was vacated therefore the Court has re-fixed the hearing date on 21 February 2017. On 6 February 2017, the Federal Court informed our solicitor that the hearing date which was fixed earlier on 21 February 2017 was vacated and fixed for the case management on 8 February 2017. On 8 February 2017, the matter was fixed for the case management, the Court has further instructed parties to file their respective submission in reply on or before 8 May 2017, and the Court has also fixed the appeal for the hearing on 22 May 2017. The appeal proper was heard on 22 May 2017 and dismissed with cost of RM100,000. The Federal Court reinstated the order of the High Court which ordered that all the Respondents purchase the shares owned by the Plaintiff in MRSB and that a certified public accountant be appointed to inspect the accounts of MRSB and file a report to the High Court of the results of the inspection to determine the value of the shares, together with payment of RM100,000 being costs to the Plaintiff for the hearing in the Federal Court and Court of Appeal. The High Court has fixed the case for further case management before the Judge on 3 May 2018 for the appointment of the certified public accountant. On 3 May 2018 the case was fixed for case management before YA Dato Has Zanah binti Mehat, the Court has now fixed the matter for Hearing of Enclosure 82 on 26 June 2018. On 26 June 2018, the Court has allowed the Petitioner's application for extension to re-appoint BDO Governance Advisory Sdn Bhd as the Court appointer auditor.